

6. Develops a detailed communications plan which provides information to community and stakeholders throughout the process.'

Be rescinded.

The motion was put and lost.

Cr McLaughlin requested division.

For – Cr's Anderson, Morabito, Piper and McLaughlin (4)

Against – Cr's Hackett, Jukes, Connor, Letchford and Mowatt (5)

LOST

* 4. **Notice of Rescission No. 9 - 2013 / 2014 - Cr Henry McLaughlin**

That the resolution of Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 28th August 2013 regarding the Macedon Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C84 – MSS Update and Inclusion of MRSS and other Recent Strategic Documents viz:

'That Council:

1. **Endorse the officer recommendations to adopt the changes made to Amendment C84 to include recommendations of the Panel where appropriate;**
2. **Adopt Amendment C84 with the changes envisaged by Recommendation 1, as attached; and**
3. **Prepare a report to Panel to submit the adopted amendment and all submissions for consideration.'**

Be rescinded.

It was moved by Cr Letchford seconded by Cr Connor

That the resolution of Council at the Ordinary Council Meeting on 28th August 2013 regarding the Macedon Ranges Planning Scheme Amendment C84 – MSS Update and Inclusion of MRSS and other Recent Strategic Documents viz:

'That Council:

1. Endorse the officer recommendations to adopt the changes made to Amendment C84 to include recommendations of the Panel where appropriate;
2. Adopt Amendment C84 with the changes envisaged by Recommendation 1, as attached; and
3. Prepare a report to Panel to submit the adopted amendment and all submissions for consideration.'

Be rescinded.

The motion was put and carried.

CARRIED

It was moved by Cr Anderson

That Council:

- 1) Accept the officer recommendation to submit the changes made to Amendment C84 in response to the panel recommendations and public submissions
- 2) Submit all public submissions in response to the exhibited amendment C84 back to the panel, pursuant to section 23 (1) (b) of the Planning and Environment act 1987
- 3) Prepare a report to panel, outlining the strategic justifications for changes made to amendment C84 in response to panel recommendations.
- 4) The amended document exhibited to councillors and the public as per attachment PE5 Ordinary council meeting Wednesday 28th August will have no further changes made before it is sent to the panel

Suspension of Standing Orders

7.22 pm It was moved by Cr Letchford seconded by Cr McLaughlin that standing orders be suspended to enable the motion to be copied and distributed to Councillors

CARRIED

Resumption of Standing Orders

7.27 pm It was moved by Cr Letchford seconded by Cr Piper that standing orders be resumed.

CARRIED

7.28 pm Cr McLaughlin returned to the meeting

The motion moved by Cr Anderson was seconded by Cr Piper was put and lost.

LOST

It was moved by Cr Letchford seconded by Cr Mowatt

That Council:

1. Resolve to support the officer recommended position for the purposes of reconvening the independent Panel subject to:

a) Revisions to Clause 21.13-3 to:

- state that Council will confirm the Settlement Hierarchy designations of Woodend and Riddells Creek after assessment of development opportunities and constraints through the proposed ODP/Structure Plan process as recommended by the independent Panel; (and in 21.13-5 in relation to Riddells Creek)
- delete the first paragraph below the bullet points under the heading Key issues for Woodend are, commencing with the words *'It is expected thatand ending with the words greater degree of growth'*;
- delete the words *'The Woodend town boundary will be retained'*; and
- Insert the words *'identify preferred and non preferred greenfield development areas'* under bullet point two of the specific recommendation actions as recommended by the Independent Panel.

b) Inclusion of annotations directed at land in the East, North East and North West of Woodend on the existing Woodend Town Structure Plan to the effect of 'Investigation area for potential future urban Development'.

2. Refer the matter including copies of all the lodged submissions to the independent Panel for further consideration

The motion was put and carried.

Cr Anderson requested a division.

For – Cr's Morabito, Hackett, McLaughlin, Connor, Letchford, Mowatt, Jukes (7)
Against – Cr's Anderson and Piper (2)

CARRIED

7.42pm

The meeting closed

.....
Cr Roger Jukes
MAYOR