

Candidates' Preferences: How Candidates Are Preferencing In Each Ward

This 'big picture' approach lets you quickly see where each candidate is directing your vote. Look for patterns in candidates' preferences: who is at the bottom, and near the top, can say a lot. Check where higher-profile candidates put other higher-profile candidates, because this is where your vote is likely to finish up, and that's who you will be electing.

NOTE: In these tables candidates' preferences are presented by ward and are in descending sequential order, that is, second preference, third preference, etc. They are not in ballot paper order. Numbers represent the order of preferences. These tables are not intended to represent or be a how to vote indicator, and information is presented only to assist with understanding preference flows. MRRA accepts no responsibility if the tables or information is used for any other purpose.

EAST WARD (8 candidates)

Candidates & Preferences								
1	ALFORD-KERR	MORABITO	BLEECK	McLAUGHLIN	HACKETT	NEIL	DONOVAN	ELLIS
2	McLaughlin	Ellis	Hackett	Alford-Kerr	Donovan	Hackett	Hackett	Alford-Kerr
3	Ellis	Hackett	Ellis	Donovan	Bleek	Donovan	McLaughlin	Hackett
4	Donovan	Neil	Alford-Kerr	Ellis	Ellis	Morabito	Alford-Kerr	McLaughlin
5	Hackett	Bleek	Morabito	Hackett	Alford-Kerr	Bleek	Ellis	Neil
6	Bleek	Alford-Kerr	McLaughlin	Bleek	McLaughlin	Ellis	Bleek	Bleek
7	Neil	Donovan	Neil	Neil	Neil	McLaughlin	Neil	Donovan
8	Morabito *	McLaughlin *	Donovan *	Morabito *	Morabito *	Alford-Kerr *	Morabito *	Morabito *
Candidate's preference flow (if elected or eliminated) to higher-profile candidates: **								
	<i>McLaughlin</i> <i>Donovan</i> <i>Bleek</i>	<i>Neil</i> <i>Bleek</i> <i>Donovan</i>	<i>Morabito</i> <i>McLaughlin</i> <i>Neil</i>	<i>Donovan</i> <i>Bleek</i> <i>Neil</i>	<i>Donovan</i> <i>Bleek</i> <i>McLaughlin</i>	<i>Donovan</i> <i>Morabito</i> <i>Bleek</i>	<i>McLaughlin</i> <i>Bleek</i> <i>Neil</i>	<i>McLaughlin</i> <i>Neil</i> <i>Bleek</i>

Observations about East Ward

- There appears to be a pro-McLaughlin/anti-Morabito theme.
- Morabito is last on 5 of 8 candidates' tickets, and will receive no preferences from those candidates. He relies on preferences from Neil and Bleek to be re-elected, but if eliminated may also elect either of them.
- Hackett is receiving a lot of high order preferences, and may succeed.

WEST WARD (6 candidates)

Candidates & Preferences						
1	JUKES #	HARVEY	CONNOR	HOPE-WILLIAMS	ANDERSON	BENSON #
2	Anderson	Benson	Hope-Williams	Connor	Jukes	Harvey
3	Harvey	Connor	Benson	Benson	Benson	Connor
4	Connor	Jukes	Jukes	Anderson	Hope-Williams	Hope-Williams
5	Hope-Williams	Hope-Williams	Harvey	Jukes	Connor	Jukes
6	Benson *	Anderson *	Anderson *	Harvey *	Harvey *	Anderson *
Candidate's preference flow (if elected or eliminated) to higher-profile candidates: **						
	<i>Harvey</i> <i>Connor</i>	<i>Benson</i> <i>Connor</i> <i>Jukes</i>	<i>Benson</i> <i>Jukes</i> <i>Harvey</i>	<i>Connor</i> <i>Benson</i> <i>Jukes</i>	<i>Jukes</i> <i>Benson</i> <i>Connor</i>	<i>Harvey</i> <i>Connor</i> <i>Jukes</i>

Observations about West Ward:

- Three candidates are generally swapping preferences with each other: Harvey, Benson, Connor
- Labor-party affiliates Harvey and Benson are exchanging second preferences, with Connor third.
- Harvey, Benson and Connor all have Anderson last. She will not receive preferences from those candidates.
- Jukes has fellow West Ward councillor Benson last.

Current Councillors

* Candidate placed last in other candidates' preferences.

Higher-profile candidates are shown in **Bold** (this does not imply such candidate will win, or others lose). Generally these are current or former councillors or others who have created a public image.

** Shows who might be elected by the candidate

SOUTH WARD - 1 (15 candidates)								
Candidates & Preferences								
1	NITZ, H	MINCHEW, M	WHITEFIELD, B	JOSEPH, A	PIPER, S	MOWATT, R	RUSO, D	GUTHRIE, R #
2	Franklin	Mowatt	Dunn	Dunn	Dunn	Minchew	Letchford	Moore
3	Matthews	Franklin	Moore	Guthrie	Scoble	Franklin	Moore	Joseph
4	Mowatt	Dunn	Joseph	Letchford	Taylor	Dunn	Guthrie	Russo
5	Piper	Piper	Piper	Moore	Franklin	Piper	Scoble	Letchford
6	Dunn	Matthews	Guthrie	Whitefield	Mowatt	Matthews	Minchew	Whitefield
7	Minchew	Nitz	Letchford	Taylor	Whitefield	Nitz	Mowatt	Piper
8	Whitefield	Scoble	Scoble	Franklin	Joseph	Scoble	Matthews	Dunn
9	Joseph	Joseph	Minchew	Piper	Russo	Joseph	Whitefield	Scoble
10	Russo	Taylor	Mowatt	Mowatt	Nitz	Taylor	Taylor	Minchew
11	Guthrie	Whitefield	Russo	Russo	Minchew	Whitefield	Joseph	Mowatt
12	Scoble	Moore	Taylor	Nitz	Matthews	Moore	Franklin	Taylor
13	Letchford	Russo	Nitz	Minchew	Moore	Russo	Nitz	Matthews
14	Taylor	Guthrie	Matthews	Scoble	Guthrie	Guthrie	Piper	Franklin
15	Moore *	Letchford *	Franklin *	Matthews *	Letchford *	Letchford *	Dunn *	Nitz *
Candidate's preference flow (if elected or eliminated) to higher-profile candidates: **								
	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Mowatt</i>	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Letchford</i>	<i>Moore</i>
	<i>Mowatt</i>	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Moore</i>	<i>Guthrie</i>	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Moore</i>	<i>Letchford</i>
	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Guthrie</i>	<i>Letchford</i>	<i>Mowatt</i>		<i>Guthrie</i>	

SOUTH WARD - 2 <i>continued</i>							
Candidates & Preferences							
1	SCOBLE, J	DUNN, D	LETCHFORD, J #	TAYLOR, N	MATTHEWS, G	MOORE, A	FRANKLIN, D
2	Piper	Joseph	Russo	Piper	Franklin	Guthrie	Mowatt
3	Dunn	Piper	Moore	Dunn	Nitz	Letchford	Minchew
4	Russo	Whitefield	Joseph	Scoble	Mowatt	Russo	Nitz
5	Joseph	Mowatt	Guthrie	Joseph	Piper	Joseph	Matthews
6	Minchew	Franklin	Mowatt	Whitefield	Dunn	Dunn	Piper
7	Taylor	Moore	Scoble	Russo	Minchew	Scoble	Dunn
8	Whitefield	Guthrie	Minchew	Minchew	Whitefield	Whitefield	Whitefield
9	Nitz	Scoble	Piper	Nitz	Joseph	Nitz	Joseph
10	Matthews	Taylor	Taylor	Matthews	Russo	Minchew	Russo
11	Moore	Minchew	Whitefield	Moore	Guthrie	Piper	Scoble
12	Letchford	Nitz	Nitz	Franklin	Scoble	Taylor	Taylor
13	Mowatt	Matthews	Matthews	Mowatt	Letchford	Mowatt	Moore
14	Franklin	Letchford	Dunn	Guthrie	Taylor	Matthews	Guthrie
15	Guthrie *	Russo *	Franklin *	Letchford *	Moore *	Franklin *	Letchford *
Candidate's preference flow (if eliminated or elected) to higher-profile candidates: **							
	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Mowatt</i>	<i>Moore</i>	<i>Dunn</i>	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Guthrie</i>	<i>Mowatt</i>
	<i>Moore</i>	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Guthrie</i>	<i>Moore</i>	<i>Mowatt</i>	<i>Letchford</i>	<i>Dunn</i>
	<i>Letchford</i>	<i>Moore</i>	<i>Mowatt</i>	<i>Franklin</i>	<i>Dunn</i>		

Current Councillors * Candidate placed last in other candidates' preferences. ** Shows who might be elected by the candidate
Higher-profile candidates are shown in **Bold** (this does not imply such candidate will win, or others lose). Generally these are current or former councillors or others who have created a public image.

Observations about South Ward

- Patterns in South Ward suggest a clear division amongst candidates.
- Three 'groups' of candidates are generally exchanging preferences, within the 'group' and with the other two groups': Franklin/Nitz/Matthews, Dunn/Piper/Scoble/Taylor, Mowatt/Minchew.
- Three other candidates are exchanging preferences (Letchford/Guthrie/Moore), but not with the above groups, who in turn are not preferring these candidates.
- Dunn is receiving a lot of high order preferences (as above, and from Joseph and Whitefield), and may succeed.
- Franklin and Matthews have declared Labor Party affiliations, and are exchanging preferences with each other, Nitz, and Mowatt.
- Russo, Whitefield and Joseph are generally placed somewhere in the middle of most candidates' preferences.